

# 悲臺灣盼大陸 校園流血事件面面觀

美國戰後有一波嬰兒潮，一九四七年到一九六一年間出生者，被稱為「Baby Boomer」；臺灣也可找到類似的詞，就是信懷南所說的「臺灣最後一代內地人」，指的就是民國三十八年前，到臺灣時才唸小學或中學的那些外省人。筆者何其有幸，也是屬於那個時代的人；因為受的是完整的愛國教育，那個時代的人都有著非常強烈的民族主義情懷。

「最後一代內地人」的今天，最大的遺憾就是他們認為在他們有生之年可能看不到國家的統一。「最後一代內地人」聚在一起的時候，彼此間最大的話題就是談海峽兩岸未來，大部分的人都認為不可能在有生之年(二十年內)看到國家統一，心中難免都有陸放翁「死去原知萬事空，但悲不見九州同」的那種悲哀和傷感。

筆者是一個和平統一的擁護者，長時間以來都認為大陸對臺讓利是不戰而屈人之兵的上上策，以時間換取空間，兩岸磨來磨去，總需要幾十年功夫才能鐵杆磨成繡花針，所以並沒期望短期(二十年內)可以看到統一。但最近的情勢發展看，筆者過去的想法有了改變，我現在認為兩岸可在未來十年內完成統一大業，在此要呼籲我們這「最後一代內地人」，要好好保養，共同見證那偉大時刻的到來。我之所以這樣預測，有以下的理由：

1.大陸已經明白，對臺讓利，以時間換取空間策略已經完全失敗，臺獨份子從歷史文化中而製造了年青一代的天然獨，時間拖得越久越不利統一。

2.賴清德以行政院長之尊，公開承認他是臺獨政治工作者；臺獨份子已經顯出了其猙獰面目，連中華

◎范湘濤

民國那張畫皮也想拋棄；數典忘祖，崇拜倭寇，牽引外力，準備以武拒統的野心已經昭然若揭，大陸領導人已經洞悉到臺獨是中華民族偉大復興路上的一塊絆腳石，必須立即剷除。

3.大陸的軍事實力已非吳下阿蒙，對於外力干預有了決勝千里之外的實力，解放軍一向不打沒有把握的仗，一旦決定武統臺灣，必定會先把臺灣團團圍住，讓外力沒法進入，然後彈子內捉鯊，勝負只會以小時計。習大大一再警告，臺獨會引起天搖地動，從遼寧艦不斷繞臺轉圈，戰鬥轟炸機不停在臺灣左右飛來飛去，可以看出來一點端倪。

4.前幾天，「中國好歌聲」電視節目在臺大辦歌唱選秀會，遭到臺獨份子鬧場而引起流血衝突；飛舞臺獨旗子鬧場的小嘍囉都平安無事，維持秩序的張安樂公子卻被捕入獄，法律已變成了臺獨的工具，官逼民反這只是一個開頭。「平定臺灣內亂」是大陸對臺統統的條件之一，這次臺大校園流血事件已經埋下了武統的引信。

5.毛澤東領導下，中共取得了整個大陸(包括西藏)政權；鄧小平領導下，完成了港澳回歸的簽約手續；習近平在完成統一大業重大歷史關頭，決不會放棄這臨門一腳的大好時機，收復臺灣是他必然會做的雄圖大志。

6.太陽花學生到立法院鬧事，對維安警察叫囂罵罵，看到了張安樂進場，便到處找警察保護；這次臺大校園互毆，也是職業學生先挑釁，被一位老先生打了幾拳，流了

點血，便急忙找警察保護，如此這般的臺獨份子，要獨立建國，遇上解放軍，豈不更是屁滾尿流？

大陸對付臺灣的手段實在太多，根本不必使用武力就可收復臺灣，只是過去許多年，為了秉承鄧小平「韜光養晦」遺訓而沒有付諸實施而已。過去一年，CCTV經常在特別節目中故意洩漏其軍事機密，大肆報導其尖端科技的成就，目的不僅是要西方認清中國實力，他更要讓小臺獨們感受到做中國人的驕傲感，而自己去洗刷掉本身的天然獨。

總而言之，解決臺獨問題，必須「政治掛帥」，反分裂法不能光說不練；如果中國政府能做到下列幾點：發出一個對臺獨份子的紅色通緝令，公開支持臺灣的統派團體，不讓任何有臺獨傾向的人到大陸賺錢，筆者敢保證臺灣的政治生態馬上會發生髮夾彎式的轉變，根本不用著解放軍開槍放炮，而是臺灣民眾自發性的箝食食盡，以迎王師。這次臺大校園事件，邱議瑛抹紅張安樂，說大陸金援了他；敵人所怕的就是自己應該要做的，大陸政府如果將計就計，大大方方出來聲援張安樂，效果恐怕比遼寧艦繞圈還大得多。

最後用兩首打油詩來作為文章結束

第一首是悲臺灣：  
數典忘祖瘋去中  
臺獨猙獰露臉孔  
強引外力拒一統  
漢奸當道不容  
第二首是盼大陸：  
仁義讓利效果無  
熱臉貼到冷屁股  
師出有名莫須待  
驕中捉鯊把奸除

智地做出一些“螳臂擋車”的蠢事。反清復明者有，民國初年不願剪辮子者有，如今死抱著“中華民國”這塊腐朽的牌子者有(綠營借殼上市者不在此列，他們的間性質不同)。我在此呼籲台灣同胞效法許歷農將軍，打破思想的禁錮，促進兩岸的統一，加入到中華民族偉大復興的行列裏來吧！

◎佟秉宇

逆施，搞得民怨沸騰，示威抗議不斷，蔡英文出行只能靠越來越堅固的防彈車。台灣的現行政權快走到頭了！

回顧我們中華歷史，每逢改朝換代時總會有一些前朝遺老，抵死不願接受已改變的世界，不明

## 又見太陽花！

◎江永田

9月24日臺灣大學出借場地辦「中國新歌聲—上海·台北音樂節」活動，因安全問題而臨時中止，隨後且發生台大學生遭毆流血暴力衝突。這事件看在眼中，不禁教人心頭一緊，我們彷彿又看到「太陽花」魅影陰魂不散的在臺灣大學上空飄盪，號稱台灣首府的臺灣大學上空現今鬼影幢幢，陰氣之勝，雖農曆七月鬼門已關，仍讓人背脊發涼，不敢直視！

冷靜三秒鐘之後，我們不禁要問：

政治人物到底要操弄這些「空有熱情卻認不清事實」的學生到什麼程度？

政治人物到底要戕害兩岸關係、國內族群和諧、製造衝突對

立到什麼程度？

這些職業學生到底要攫取到什麼程度的政治利益才肯罷手？

執政者到底要藐視國人的智慧、輕視國家未來到什麼程度，才肯懸崖勒馬？

隨著證據一一浮現，我們十分清楚：

1、參與衝突的大部分不是單純的學生。

2、因為服裝與旗幟的佐證，我們確定這是有組織有預謀的衝突。

3、這是商演，和統戰無關。

4、被打的學生反而是先動手打人的嫌疑犯，而且是多名年輕人打一個老人。

所以，事件的性質毫無疑問，就



網址 <http://www.us-chinaforum.org> 投稿信箱: [uschinaforum138@gmail.com](mailto:uschinaforum138@gmail.com)

中美論壇社 主編  
社務委員：張紹遷 周友道 水秉和 張一飛 陳立家 陳憲中  
馬在莊 佟秉宇 高志雲 范湘濤 張文基 蔡社長

刊例設計 劉學武  
每星期六出刊  
第 217 期

推進中美相互瞭解合作 促成海峽兩岸和平統一 倡導和諧平等多元世界

## 賊喊捉賊 目無法紀

### 臺北-上海 音樂節紀詳

◎周固猷

我在九月十八到二十八日，返台十天，恰逢「台北上海音樂節」，於24日星期天，在台大田徑場，舉辦「中國新歌聲」大型演唱會，由柯文哲擔任，台北市政府主辦。在開場之際，大批台獨人士結合部份台大學生，以抗議中共文化統戰之名，和現場之觀眾，發生語言及肢體衝突，路過現場之兩位統一促進黨成員，為制止一年邁婦女被打，雙方爆發流血事件。我在二十七號星期三去統促黨本部，造訪了張安樂(白狼)先生，同時也旁聽了媒體對他的採訪過程，對事件的來龍去脈有了進一步的了解，邊湘濤兄所囑，略記概要數點及個人之評論如下，以供讀者參考。

首先，在事發現場，有國台辦之李文輝主任、高金素梅立委、新黨郝慕明主席，台大之校方人員以及台北市政府之協辦人員等等。隨即由蔡丁貴所率領的大批台獨人士，衝進會場後，立即和現場的觀眾，爆發語言和肢體衝突，而目睹台大法律系學生張耿維、李柏璋毆打一位老婦人時，被經過現場的兩位統一促進黨成員，胡大綱先生及其友人，趕上去解危，和張姓、李姓學生，大打出手，但二人遭到獨派眾人圍攻，而電召援手，隨即張瑋(張安樂之子)等兩人，趕到現場，情況逆轉，獨派不敵，張耿維受傷。台大校園之監視器，有全程

是政治人物有心要在2018選舉前，再借一次學生之手，再複製一次「太陽花事件」再攫取一次政治利益！厚黑執政者獲取選票，職業學生魚躍龍門升格為政治明星，兩者實一為狼、一為狐，在政治操作上原屬無可厚非，但在國家前途社會人心的危害上，則屬罪大惡極、極其下作的無恥陽謀！

學生太單純所以容易被利用，厚黑政客太陰狠，所以為掌握權力可以不顧一切。台灣島的上空因而充滿愚昧與鬼魅！

我們必須揭發這些既厚黑又無良政客的真面目，必須阻止另一朵內含民主劇毒的太陽花在民主台灣再一次枝繁葉茂！

「中國新歌聲—上海·台北音樂節」的衝突，就是另一朵太陽花萌芽的前兆，作為有遠見、有智慧的知識分子，我們必須這樣看。

編者按：作者現任臺灣警消聯盟執行長

錄影，但綠營提供媒體之資料，僅顯示學生被打，而沒有前段學生打人之完整錄影。警方根據這片段的資料，稱統促黨預謀衝突，移送張胡二人，並各以新台幣二十五萬元交保候審。若干名嘴：周玉蔻、姚立明等，立即譴責該黨是幫助中國在臺灣搞統戰，打擊獨派之元兇，並應該控以內亂外患罪。

張安樂表示：1) 欺辱、打罵老弱婦孺之人，本該被懲罰，胡張二人，打得好！

2) 如果預謀鬧事，現場起碼要有數百黨員控制，怎會只有二人去挨打？

我認為：台灣民政府組織嚴密，全島分佈，鼓動回歸日本，但為什麼與內亂外患罪無緣？第二天之立法院會中，費鴻泰委員要求調閱台大監視錄影帶，以觀全豹真相，內政部長吞吞吐吐，無以相對。這件事的結果是，演唱會胎死腹中，夭折於開始。影響所及的是，由於目前學生們都只認同台灣，而拒絕承認是中國人，中共無論是以前多少善意及讓利，都是熱臉蛋效應，無人領情，台灣人普遍認為中共為紙老虎，而日本為最堅強的中盾(不是美國)，這點在我看來真是吃錯了藥，進錯了廟。我告訴張安樂，台灣豈有什麼發言權？

台灣的前途，絕非由台海兩岸，而是由太平洋兩岸拍板定案！依照目前兩岸實力的發展，台灣不知己，不知彼，只知選票和內鬥，即使主動要求一國兩制，現在已是可望而不可及，時不我予了。白狼和我在交談之間，多所保留，態度低調，但是對我個人的論述，表示頗有同感。那就是爭取炎黃血脈的尊嚴，絕不是由一面旗幟來爭取，而是由國力的強弱來決定。

台灣將來最好的下場，是依靠大陸做後盾，昇五星旗，輔以青天白日做為地區旗，這還要看中共根據台灣表現，而作決定。期待青天白日滿地紅，飄揚於大陸的想法，已經是鏡花水月，痴人說夢了。一個腐敗的政府被人推翻，是中外古今的鐵律，無處不申。同樣的道理，如果中共施政腐敗，國力孱弱，五星旗也逃不了被推翻的命運。依照目前的形勢來看，在安定中求發展的中國，待發展到十五五，也就是十年之後，美國、日本、台獨期望中國崩潰的日子，一定又是一個癡人說夢，鏡花水月了。這是我與張安樂談話以後，想法、看法、交集共識的所在。草草完稿，若心中存有異議之各位看官，盼不咎賜教。

## Thoughts Triggered by President Trump's First UN Speech

The U.S. President, Mr. Donald Trump, delivered his first speech at the General Assembly of the United Nations on September 19th, 2017 in New York City. He chose to deliver a 'BaQi' speech (BaQi, 霸氣, a Chinese term meaning a strong, tough and somewhat patronizing) containing a few dosages of his campaign speeches which resonated with the American right and established the "America First" winning slogan. There was the familiar Trump logic and Trump demeanor in his speech but the hour long speech did deliver some new messages. In the following, I analyze his speech bearing his personality and his political environment in mind.

His opening was graceful. He thanked the world that had expressed sympathy and lent a helping hand to hurricane disasters that devastated parts of the U.S. But his tone immediately toughened, saying to the world that the American people are strong and resilient, and they will emerge from these hardships more determined than ever before. Then he bragged that the U.S. has done very well since last Election Day, the stock market at an all-time high, unemployment at its lowest level in 16 years, (attributing to US regulatory and other reforms), job growth not seen in a very long time, and spending almost \$700 billion on our military and defense making it the strongest ever been. These BaQi statements probably will make our friends uneasy and enemies grinding teeth, but likely all intentional by President Trump.

Then President Trump addressed the world issues, the dangerous threats, the terrorists and extremists, the rogue regimes not only support them but also threaten others with most destructive weapons, authoritarian powers seeking to collapse our system and international criminal networks trafficking drugs and weapons, forcing mass migration, threatening our borders, and menacing our citizens. Before getting into specific cases, Trump mentioned The Marshall Plan for post WW II Europe recovery, quoting President Truman, "the success of the U.N. depends on the strength of its members" who embrace their sovereignty to promote security, prosperity, and peace for themselves and for the world. One might point out the most used word in Trump's speech is "sovereignty". Trump further elaborated: "We do not expect diverse countries to share the same cultures, traditions, or even systems of government, but we do expect all nations to uphold these two core sovereign duties: to respect the interests of their own people and the rights of every other sovereign nation." "This is the beautiful vision of this institution, and this is foundation for cooperation and success.

### Mainstream and Organic Views Dr. Wordman

Strong, sovereign nations let other countries .. coexist ... Strong, sovereign nations let their people ...control their own destiny, and strong, sovereign nations allow individuals to flourish..."

Surprisingly, there was no applause at these statements from the audience, since these resonate with the 'non-interference' doctrine that China always advocated with support of Asian, Latin American and African nations. Trump pressed on further: "In America, we do not seek to impose our way of life on anyone, but rather to let it shine as an example for everyone to watch." This line was preached for decades by the U.S. Many nations believed and followed, but the world seemed to become more realistic and prudent with second thought. They witnessed how the U.S. protruded in many parts of the world. This might explain why there was no applause response. Likely, Trump's next praise on the U.S. constitution and its 230 anniversary might have fallen on deaf ears.

What did bring applause, in fact, supports my interpretation above. Trump, elaborated the founding principle of sovereignty; "as President of the United States, I will always put America first, just like you, as the leaders of your countries will always, and should always, put your countries first". Here comes the first genuine applause! I believe it was the second part of the sentence that did it! The above and the following sentences, "As long as I hold this office, I will defend America's interests above all else" and "Our citizens have paid the ultimate price to defend our freedom and the freedom of many nations represented in this great hall" were addressing his supporters besides the world audience.

Trump uttered another familiar line, "we did not seek territorial expansion, or attempt to oppose and impose our way of life on others. Instead, we helped build institutions such as this one to defend the sovereignty, security, and prosperity for all." Unfortunately, the audience in today's U.N. is very different from two, three and four decades ago. There are multiple voices in the U.N. now steering the body to pay attention more to deeds and less to ideology or preaching. The U.S. had been disappointed with the actions and results that the U.N. had produced over the decades, but Trump's businessman logic: "the U.S. as a single nation paying 22% of the UN budget seemed unfair" is not a logical argument addressing the world political body. Similarly, his business logic: "the cost of accepting one refugee in the U.S. can afford placing 10 refugees in their home region" is not a humanitarian logic justifying the refusal of refugees to the U.S. The U.S. economy was

close to 50% of the world GDP (1950-60 era) but had declined to 20% today. A UN reform proposal to adjust member assessment according to a member nation's economic strength may be appropriate. The U.S. may not get much a reduction but other richer nations can pay more. Extending Trump's business logic, would it be business smart to move the UN headquarter from the most expensive city, New York, to Asia or Africa?

Trump turned to address the specific world issues by stating: "We must reject threats to sovereignty, from the Ukraine to the South China Sea (SCS). We must uphold respect for law, borders, and culture, and the peaceful engagement these allow." SCS was only mentioned once in his speech and China and Russia were not targeted in his speech unlike prior US speeches in the U.N. In specifics, Trump was tough on North Korea, citing its sins, especially its nuclear weapon pursuit, calling Kim a rocket man on a suicide mission, and threatened to totally destroy North Korea (NK). Trump also lashed on Venezuela's government tearing down democracy, Iranian's dictator regime masked behind a false democracy funding Hezbollah and other terrorists, (calling the Iran Deal an embarrassment to the U.S.), extending indefinite military deployment in Afghanistan and changed the rule of engagement with Taliban and other terrorists groups and claiming big gains in Syria and Iraq against ISIS. Trump thanked China and Russia for their support in the security Council's to 15:0 unanimous decision to impose strict sanction against NK, but did not make any plea or specific proposal to the General Assembly for dealing with the trouble spots in the world he mentioned. It was an opportunity missed, Trump had the chance to leave a set of agenda or action plan for the UN to ponder on.

Trump's speech raised only a few applauses when mentioning sovereignty, de-nuclear effort and UN reform action. His threatening tone did not excite the audience to applause. In fact, in some news interviews post-Trump speech, people generally commented that his tough talk did not help the NK situation. Sure enough, Kim Jong-Un responded calling Trump a frightened barking dog. Trump's combative personality is hard to change, but he should realize that he can be firm, decisive and even hawkish but he has to be diplomatic with other world leaders. Taking on the entire world press or twitting to the entire world would not charge up billions of people to side with him. Diplomacy has to be the answer.

In Trump style, the speech was smoothly delivered at the UN with several messages gone across: the U.S. is taking a different path from previous Administration, adopting firmly an American First attitude (though puzzling why Trump preached everyone to be patriotic to his own country?), understanding the importance of sovereignty (exhibited in several passages in his speech), toning down rhetoric against China and Russia, and being hawkish. But failing to make any concrete proposal for solving world crises delivers a message that the U.S. has no solution for NK tension or Middle East conflicts. Putin has said that economic sanction doesn't work. I tend to agree. So for NK crisis, we just have to watch the developments unfold.