

# 武統的時刻來到了嗎？

論 壇	中 美		網址	http://www.us-chinaforum.org http://www.us-chinaforum.com	投稿信箱: uschinaforum138@gmail.com
			中 美 論 壇 社 主 編	刊例設計 劉學武	
社務委員: 張紹遷 周友道 水秉和 張一飛 陳立家 陳惠中 馬在莊 佟秉宇 高志雲 陳耀華 范湘濤 張文基兼社長			每星期六出刊 第 339 期		
推進中美相互瞭解合作 促成海峽兩岸和平統一 倡導和諧平等多元世界					

“拿和平獎來換取臺灣”  
首先必須聲明，我並不贊成武統；我支持習近平一再聲明的和平統一。但是，武統，攤開來看，跟我們贊不贊成無關。《反分裂國家法》擺在那裏，無論蔡英文怎麼打擦邊球，也無論美國搞多少小動作，武統，現在看來，已經是必然要發生的事了。蔡英文在接受BBC訪問的時候說，臺灣已經獨立。這是許多臺獨份子的說法。這樣的文字遊戲不可輕視，因為，他們是說：咱們已經獨立了。那麼，這是不是已經觸犯了分裂法呢？蔡還說，對武統，臺灣已經準備好了。真的嗎？總之，自從蔡英文勝選，網上武統的呼聲四起，因此，就讓我們談談武統吧。

◎水秉和  
臺或臺奸，使統一變成聯字；有些臺商和娛樂界明星到大陸去拼命圈錢，可是回臺灣之後卻暗中支持臺獨。剛開始的時候，北京方面還相當天真地宣傳“血濃於水”，後來覺得實在不對勁，近年已經很少再提這種被臺灣人認為肉麻的宣傳了。好意產生了反效果，拉大了兩岸距離。

我是軍事與戰略的門外漢，祇在臺灣鳳山的陸軍步校當過一年的小教官，教老兵數理化，所以先要說明，下面做的沙盤推演絕對是很幼稚的。可是，既然要談武統，我們當然要談談怎麼武統呀。因此，獻醜了。

**武統的負面影響和兩大亮點**  
如果真的訴諸武力，那麼它對兩岸的經濟民生會帶來極大的損失，並且也會對中國發展進程帶來極大的不確定性。它可能引起國際上許多方面的負面反應。西方世界就不要說了，在美國帶頭之下，非常可能會搞出一大堆制裁，阻礙中國進一步的發展，甚至造成地產崩盤和經濟大規模衰退。北京花了很大力氣拉攏歐盟的努力很可能就此泡湯。美國也會拿這個來分裂中國與東協各國建立的友好關係。甚至，它也可能引發中美之間的熱戰。到底結果如何，是一個充滿不確定性的問題。可是，對於這種不確定性，有相當大部分會受武統的方式影響。北京方面要做到的是，即使武統，也要盡量做到合情合理，使絕大多數國家不至於站在武統的對立面。

這裏的問題很明白：你越討好臺灣人，他們越不理你，越瞧不起你。所以，不武統則民進黨認為他們有美國人撐腰，量你老共不敢真的武統。他們覺得很安全，可以無限期待過著小確幸的生活。中華民國的護照可以走遍天下，含金量遠高於紅護照。所以，和平統一變得越來越不現實。

**沙盤推演：第一步：強迫談判**  
大陸上有些軍事專家提出斬首行動，或者立刻占領金馬，或者把臺灣所有的軍用機場和導彈基地在第一時間全部炸毀。這在戰術上或許可取，可是，這絕對是最愚蠢的辦法。明智的做法是，首先必須給予臺灣人充分的思考時間，讓他們有機會產生心理轉變。並且，臺灣擁有許多資源，破壞它們非常可惜。不戰而屈人之兵才是上戰。給多少時間呢？時間不能太長，也不能過短。一個月可能是適當的時段。

另一方面，武統也不是沒有亮點。從這次臺灣選舉可以看出，臺獨與港獨之間是互動的。蔡英文這次勝選跟去年下半年香港的動亂有著密切關係，她的競選宣傳品大量利用香港反送中的視頻，拿反對一國兩制和維護主權作為競選宣傳的主軸。北京方面遲遲不肯對香港的動亂採取干預行動，也很可能是因為顧忌它對臺灣造成負面反應。可是，負面反應仍然發生了，並且達到了它的預期效果。如果美國是香港動亂的操盤手的話，那麼中情局真的可以大大慶功一番。

祇要北京方面堅決表示：某年某月某日，一定開始武統！僅僅是這樣的宣示，就會迅速縮小和平統一論所給予臺獨越來越大的空間和越來越遠的兩岸距離。武統，祇要喊出來，還沒有發動，局勢肯定會發生一連串非常戲劇性的變化。這就是武統最大的亮點。

**第二步：說服世界**  
毫無疑問，這麼重大的事件必然在全球引起軒然大波，對北京而言，最重要的就是宣傳工作。北京必須非常堅定也非常透明地表示，這是中國幾十年拖延未決的領土問題，不會影響中國對世界各國的友好關係。既然它們都承認，祇有一個中國，而臺灣是中國的一部分，那麼，它們應當去勸說臺灣當局，組團就一國兩制大陸舉行談判，以避免兩岸真的爆發戰爭，對全世界的經濟造成災難性影響。

如果大陸武統臺灣，那麼在兩獨互動原理的作用下，它會產生剛好相反的效果，那就是香港一定會變乖，再也不敢胡鬧了：如果北京對擁有軍隊和美國撐腰的臺灣，隔着一個海峽都動手了，那麼香港怎麼敢不聽話呢？武統臺灣，香港有了拿了外國公民身份或居留權的政商法學的各界精英們就要開始大規模流亡了，其規模之大相信必然超過1967年和1997年。廢青們能跑的也會統統跑走，不能跑的就不敢鬧事了。香港的人口壓力會大幅度減弱，地產價格斷崖式下滑，連帶解決嚴重的社會問題。也就是說，武統臺灣不但解決了臺獨，它連帶地解決了港獨。

當然，武統是一個高風險和高報酬的行動，問題是，許多事，我們無法斤斤計較風險與報酬，孰多孰少，因為許多事最最重要的是時機，抓住關鍵時機，採取決斷行動，可以扭轉歷史。錯過時機，遲遲不作決定，歷史會向反方向走去，再也沒有翻盤的機會。這方面，我們可以拿普京作例子。

**第三步：拿和平獎來換取臺灣**  
到今天，我們對特朗普的個性大概都有了相當程度的瞭解。他非常任性，可以踐踏美國人民最寶愛的憲法，要挾他國領袖對自己的競選對手作調查，也根本不視國際法，謀殺他國的政府高官。三年來，他一直貶抑本國的情報機構，替普京說話，又排斥本國的聯邦調查局和國務院等既有建制，我行我素。

另外一個亮點就是縮小了武統與和平統一之間所存在的巨大空間。從過去二十多年的兩岸互動關係來看，為了促使臺灣接受和平統一，北京方面可以說非常客氣，大規模讓利，希望獲得臺灣人的好感。可是，效果如何呢？恰好相反，民進黨利用這個時段修改了學校的教科書，去中國化，改變了青少年的認同；它把支持統一的同胞詆毀為賣

在2014年和2015年，普京幹了兩件大事，這兩件事扭轉了俄國自1991年蘇聯解體之後節節退縮的局面，重新取得了一個核子大國應有的地位。2014年，他出兵烏克蘭，維護了該國東部俄人占多数的兩省的安全，並且取回了克里米亞。這樣，他避免了在美國支持和資助之下推翻原來親俄政府的新政府一面倒向歐盟和北約的後果。2015年，在敘利亞巴沙爾政府岌岌可危的時刻，他毅然出兵，不但撐住了巴沙爾政府和俄國在地中海的軍事基地，並且，從事後的事態發展來看，他使俄國成爲中東什葉派政府（伊朗，敘利亞）的軍事後盾，對整個中東事務取得了話語權。

我們還知道，因爲奧巴馬是黑人，特朗普對他是充滿敵意和鄙視，要否定奧的一切。他最不甘心的，奧巴馬居然獲得了諾貝爾和平獎。他曾派遣兩個航母戰鬥群去對朝鮮的金小胖子施壓，然後突

# Bloomberg's Chance in Presidential Bid

The U.S. presidential bid started with 31 candidates counting Donald Trump the incumbent. The early round of campaign and fund raising filtered out half of the contenders quickly. The Democratic Caucus and initial debates conditioned on sufficient fund raising support and decent voter opinion poll left six Democrats in the Jan. 14, 2020 Iowa debate hosted by CNN and the Des Moines Register in Des Moines, Iowa. Andrew Young the Chinese American did not make into the debate as well as the last black candidate, Cory Booker (Senator from New Jersey) who formally dropped out of the race. The yellow mustang, Andrew Yang, with no political background but self-claiming as a "math" guy, offered to save the U.S. from the robot revolution. He blamed the Democrat party for being not included in the Iowa debate. Currently, the top tickets are occupied by Joe Biden (former Vice President), Bernie Sanders (Senator, Vermont), Elizabeth Warren (Senator, Massachusetts), Pete Buttigieg (former Mayor of South-bend, Indiana), Amy Klobuchar (Senator, Minnesota) and Tom Steyer (Billionaire).

Andrew Yang did pass the first of the three criteria, 225,000 individual donors, ranking of 5% in four polls and 7% in two early voting states but failed the latter two. In the Iowa debate, all six candidates claim to be the right candidate to beat Trump in November than distinguish themselves from each other. The next three debates are scheduled on Feb. 7th sponsored by ABC at Anselm College, New Hampshire, Feb. 19, sponsored by NBC and MSNBC at Las Vegas, Nevada, and Feb. 25 by CBS, the Congressional Black Caucus Institute and Twitter. At this moment, Andrew Young is the only non-white candidate in the race. No matter who emerges as the winner, it would seem to be a left-wing liberal facing Donald Trump who has a solid-minority right-wing supporters. One of the logistic issues in the debates is hinged on the Impeachment proceeding in the Senate since the three sitting Senators are supposed to be jurors in the Impeachment trial.

Besides the above presidential contenders, there is a low-key contender who appeals to the centrists or independents of the voter population in a different manner. That is Michael Bloomberg (born February 14, 1942, grew up in Medford, Massachusetts, graduate of John Hopkins and Harvard Business School), a billionaire (roughly \$22B, founder and CEO of Bloomberg L.P., with experience and success in providing information technology and services to the financial industry) and former mayor of New York City (2002-2009). Bloomberg, seeking Democrat Party

**Mainstream and Organic Views**  
**Dr. David Wordman**

nomination started late and seemed to be taking an old-fashioned campaign methodology by touring the country meeting folks face to face. We will try to follow Bloomberg's recent trail, to understand his political views and to assess whether he has a chance to win the U.S. 46th Presidency. On Mike Bloomberg's campaign website, you find the slogan, "We can't let Trump Win", videos featuring him as a problem solver, effective Mayor and ambition to rebuild America as well as various news reports, "Bloomberg is spending \$100M anti-Trump ad and spending \$15-20M to register half million voters." Bloomberg's history of political affiliation is Democrat (prior to 2001), Republic (2001-2007), Independent (2007-2018) and Democrat (2018-present); this is a very unique record which may attract the independent voters.

Bloomberg bets 2020 campaign on an unprecedented strategy. He will participate in Democratic primaries but will skip the earlier ones, Iowa and New Hampshire. His recent 17-hour touring around the country is executing that strategy; we will review some of the reports about this tour to get a feel of his campaign. He first visited a soybean farm in Minnesota where we could see how his strategy of "see it and be seen" was played out. Mr. Bloomberg held a round-table discussion with the Johnson family at their soybean farm in Wells, Minn. Bloomberg, speaking to the farmers, said, "you are the backbone of our country.....It is easy for us living in big cities to forget about the rest of the world.....You don't see it everyday." To be seen, Mr. Bloomberg climbed behind the wheel of the tractor — glistening with a fresh wax job from the proprietor's teenage children (photo op for reporters) — then eventually back into a waiting vehicle bound for an airplane to somewhere else. Matt Flegenheimer, a Times reporter accompanied Bloomberg in his tour remarked in his report: "There is a way that people generally run for president. And there is whatever Mr. Bloomberg is doing." We take it meaning that Bloomberg's campaign is unconventional. Then Bloomberg was moving on to Chicago to assess and address economic growth and factory jobs issues. Bloomberg appears to be campaigning with greater efficiency in mind (Flegenheimer's statement) as well as generating useful video footage

for advertising since advertising seems to be his campaign focus (this author's observation). The gathering in Chicago might suggest that Bloomberg might have a constituency outside of Manhattan, as a woman shouted out: "We need somebody like you!" and Bloomberg replied: "If you say so."

Mr. Bloomberg's final stop of the tour was in Ohio which has a primary day of March 17. Bloomberg accepted the endorsement of Mayor Daniel Horrington of Akron. He drew a few hundred people at the city's Innovation Club. He autographed baseballs for eager attendees. One Akron Bloomberg fan remarked that "He needs to get out there. Call in, go on CNN, get your face out there. But so far more likely Bloomberg can only be seen during a commercial break on his ad. Bloomberg is not a gifted politician as reported by Flegenheimer. He is betting that "his zag-while-they-zig electoral strategy and functionally bottomless resources can make him the standard-bearer of a Democratic Party whose 2020 primary has been defined in part by progressive disdain for the billionaire class." Two very graphical descriptions were used to depict his presidential bid: 1. "Mr. Bloomberg is a chess-master (grand strategy) whose opponents play checkers (jump step by step)." and 2. "he is more accurately working to bury the (game) board with a gusher of cash (used in campaign and ads) so overpowering that everyone forgets how the game was always played in the first place". Up to this point, it is not obvious that either one is a good description for Mr. Bloomberg's Presidential campaign.

In my opinion, despite of the Impeachment, President Trump holds a solid support from the republican voters. The democrats need a revolution but can't find a revolutionary leader. Biden is a baggage person who cannot shake off the Obama heritage. Sanders is too much a replay of his 2016 theme; he can't excite people. The rest of candidates are busy attacking Trump's Administration than delivering substantive solutions for the country as evidenced by the Iowa debate. Bloomberg made a remark that the two early states, Iowa and New Hampshire, among most homogeneous (race) states in the nation, dominated the candidates time and resources. This is unfair to the African Americans, Latino, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, especially the electoral weight of Iowa and New Hampshire is relatively insignificant. Bloomberg called for changes; it might be too late for 2020 but he had made a good point. By skipping these two states, Bloomberg saves resources for the other primaries. It remains to be seen whether Bloomberg's strategy will win him the Democratic Nomination by focusing on ads to win primaries. Not like other candidates, how to debate Trump is not on his mind until he won the nomination. Thus, his chance to win the presidential bid hinges on his strategy of touring the country, creating local news and spending ads to win primaries.