

# 當二二八罪行變成台獨偉業

~習賢德~

(輔仁大學傳播學院行政副院長)

「二二八事件」擴大詮釋與聲討加害者的加工作業，在馬英九個人不斷哽咽加溫，藍營行將失去府會雙重堡壘之際，進入嶄新階段。當馬英九拒絕將叛逆的「自由廣場」換回「大中至正」石匾時，八田與一竟亦內化為他自己誤讀歷史的愛台偶像。口口聲聲「對得起台灣人民」，卻無知自閉於外省原罪中的馬英九，遲早會被歷史認定為拆毀民族長城的頭號罪犯。

以《二二八辭典》別冊簡單統計，並輔以相關資料比對，領取補償顯然並不嚴格，死亡及失蹤八百多人中，至少有5人未受難，家屬卻照樣領取補償金新台幣六百萬元。民國38年後才因各種罪名遭槍決的63人，也都被計入死亡受難人數。另外各種有爭議的領取亦不在少數。誰該為辛苦的納稅人說點公道話？

民進黨立委真是太謙卑了。黨外時期流行的「坐監惜別」悲情，請轉化成「520」下午陳水扁光榮特赦的慶功宴吧！令陳水扁矢志建國偉業抱憾的最後兩步棋，請跳過微不足道的銅像與遺像，直接制憲，換掉車輪旗與靈肉皆空的國號吧！

感到困惑的朋友，請思索德國四句著名諺語：(一)上天讓誰滅亡，總是先讓他膨脹。(二)時間是篩子，最終會淘汰一切沉渣。(三)蜜蜂盜花，卻使花開繁盛。(四)暗透了，更能看見星光。連蘇聯頭子赫魯雪夫都知自我揚厲：「絕不可無休止的利用人民的信任。」

筆者成年後自我介紹，每因「出生地」與「成長地」有別，而將籍貫微調為「江西省屏東縣北港鎮人」。北港南陽國小同窗蘇海洋女士尊翁正是「雲林起義」主角蘇東啟，「外省人必須殺光」是從小就聽過的仇恨語言。一路走來，外省子弟特別是軍人遺眷，一生只能靠考試讀書歹活者多矣，奈何每逢大小選舉綠營祭出的鬥爭招術，幾乎都是急著將自己變成更凶殘蠻橫的加害者。

「嗜痂之好」居然重現於號稱多元開放、民主自由的台灣寶島！

退休前夕，方才驚覺「躺著也會中槍」竟非童言兒戲。一本據實筆錄的口述歷史《警察與二二八事件》自費出版四年，如今卻可能依法判處五年以下有期徒刑。綠營大勝後，立即利用二二八事件六十九周年熱潮，重提已冷凍四年的《二二八事件處理及賠償條例》第六條之一修正案，要求增列違反憲法保障的基本言論自由與學術自由的「否定二二八罪責」；更嚴重違反且羞辱了「台獨建國烈士」鄭南榕高舉的「百分之百的言論自由」。

「苟利國家生死以，豈因禍福避趨之」。敢問藍營諸友：有誰願挺身為無辜受辱的學者舉辦「坐監惜別」？或再次衝撞立法院，讓世界看到覺醒憤怒的「青天白日花」？

# 吹皺南海春水，干美國何事？

~陳尚慧~

不遠千里，美軍開著戰艦經過南海的中國島礁，中國軍演時，不顧危險接近中國戰艦，還駕著戰機或帶上CNN記者飛過島礁上空，要世界都知道中國存心不良。要為小兄弟們兩脅插刀，美國與盟國一年還舉行1500次的軍演。

東沙、中沙、西沙和南沙群島分佈於南海，約有200多個島嶼，陸地面積共13平方公里，海域面積共200多萬平方公里，這些原屬中國的島嶼很多已被越南、菲律賓、馬來西亞、印尼、文涿所侵占。除南沙的太平島和東沙由台灣實際控制外，大陸在永興島建三沙市，轄區為中沙（包括黃岩島）、西沙和南沙群島。自1975年以來，越南侵佔了中國南海的29個島礁，在島嶼上修建了永久軍事設施，駐防軍隊，分批移民，並開通了旅遊路線。現已在南沙開採了逾1億噸石油，獲利250多億美元。菲律賓在也在1975年將南沙的33島嶼，包括太平島均劃入菲領土，現已實際控制8個島礁，派兵駐守。菲定中業島為南沙的指揮中心，建了機場。為了能獲得更多島礁，菲律賓搞了美濟礁衝突事件、仁愛礁坐灘、黃岩島對峙等，不過美國對菲越的侵佔視而不見，卻對中國見縫插針。

越南的立場前後矛盾，1956年北越共和國說『根據越南資料，從歷史來看，西沙與南沙群島從宋代就屬於中國了』，1958年當中國宣布東沙、西沙、中沙、南沙均為中國領土時，北越政府總理照會周恩來，尊重這個決定，1965年重新聲明，其地理教科書和地圖都標明它們屬中國。南越不同，1950年中華民國初遷台灣，軍隊暫從各島撤離，法國就乘機佔領一些島嶼，1955年越南獨立，法軍從南沙撤走，南越政府接替。越南1975年統一，北越政府當權，卻出爾反爾，轉而說南沙和西沙屬越南，1988年，越外交部承認過去確實認可中國的主張，但是為了尋求中國支持北越的抗美鬥爭才承認的。中國從

60年代就在西沙永興島大力修建軍事設施，但1974年1月南越登陸西沙某島，逐走中方人員，但中國幾天后就收復了西沙。菲律賓原是西班牙的殖民地，1898年美國戰勝西班牙，成為美國的殖民地，兩國地圖的菲律賓領土均不包括中南沙，菲領土在這些島嶼的東邊，但菲說黃岩島等地在他們的200海哩經濟海域內，所以屬於菲律賓，但經濟海域不應包括原來屬於別國的海島。為了擴張領土，菲律賓還將爭議鬧到荷蘭國際法庭，對此中國不願隨之起舞。

南海各島自古就是中國的領土，除了在南海諸島發現中國人所建的廟宇、石碑、瓷器和錢幣外。很多史籍均有記載，譬如《史記》，《漢書》，《三國志》均提及，唐太宗時正式將其劃入海南島管轄，北宋仁宗將西沙置於巡邏範圍，南宋也認為中國疆域包括越南北部海域，2007年中國在西沙還打撈到南宋沉船華光礁一號。元忽必烈派兵出征南洋，行經南海，由天文學家郭守敬做「四海測驗」，元時南海諸島屬於海北道、海南道。明時鄭和七下西洋，他的《鄭和航海圖》中畫了越南與海南島之間的島嶼，他的兩隨從以後也寫書描述南海諸島的情況。清乾隆時繪製的《皇清各直省分圖》也將西沙、南沙和中南半島緬甸以東劃入版圖。1930年代民國政府對諸島做調查，並列出島嶼名稱，出版《南海諸島位置圖》，確定最南端為曾母暗沙，並在這些島四周畫出U字形11條斷續疆界線，此所謂11段線，1949年中華人民共和國成立後，由於與越南關係友好讓出北部灣、東京灣兩條線，將這11條改為9條，「九段線」由此而來。二戰期間，日本侵占南海中國的島嶼，1946年中

國派海軍接收這些島嶼，以中業和中建艦接收西沙，太平和永興艦接收南沙，所以有四島就以此命名。1956年代，中華民國對菲律賓的野心有所警覺，派軍巡弋南海，宣布主權，包括太平、中業和南威島，並重新在太平島駐軍，不過中業和南威現分由菲越佔。

2000年3月中國與東盟達成《南海各方行為宣言》，第5條就是敦促各國自我克制而不改變南海島礁現況。雖然此宣言無法律效應，中國一直未改變任何島礁現狀，但越菲都先下手為強，繼續佔領活動。中國多次表明願與爭議國家雙邊協商，可擱置爭議，共同開發，但菲律賓等不吃敬酒，還要求美國介入爭端，美國以此為藉口，平衡中國在亞洲的影響力，還計劃將60%的軍力移往東亞。中國知道行動已晚，但亡羊補牢，於是在自己控制的島礁上建設，此時菲又說中國不遵守《行為宣言》。

菲越抗議中國在永興島部署導彈，美國再批評中國升高區域內的緊張局勢。連台灣總統馬英九2016年年初去太平島視察，都被戴上「不利和平」的帽子。中國回應：『美國不斷加強在南海軍力部署，頻繁派出軍用艦機進入南海海域，對中國進行高頻度抵近軍事偵察，並多次派出導彈驅逐艦和戰略轟炸機逼近甚至深入中國南沙群島有關島礁鄰近海空域，一再誘壓盟國或夥伴在南海搞針對性極強的「聯合軍演」和「航行自由」，所以美國才是在搞軍事化』。看來美國不僅只准官家放火，不許百姓點燈，而且暗示中國，你們不可以增強自衛能力，如果南海有戰事，你們不應抵抗，最好束手就擒。（部分內容來自沈克勤的《南海諸島爭議述評》）

他事實是美國政策的執行者。什麼本土化，去中國化，什麼我是台灣人不是中國人，都是在一步一步把原屬中國的台灣，拱手讓給美國日本，這是不是在賣台？

二次大戰後，台灣歸還給中國，雖然在東西冷戰時期，西方倡導所謂台灣地位未定論，但都不能改變台灣是中國的一省的事實。一直到今天臺灣常提到的本省人，外省人，都證明瞭李登輝雖然凍了省，省字仍然存在大部份人心中。換言之，大家仍然記得臺灣曾是中國的一省，親中統一的想法，只不過是將台灣恢復到二戰後的地位，因那是犧牲了三千萬中國人生命換來的結果，中國人不可能放棄。親中不是賣台，因為字義上不存在把屬於中國的土地賣給中國。只有賣給他國，才叫賣台。

## 綠營修法廢國父

中華民國革命抗日為民族，可悲淪為皇民台獨之政府。中山先生一生奮鬥為中國，可嘆淪為獨台國父受污辱。移花接木國父國旗掛羊頭，借殼上市中華民國賣台獨。

打著國號舞著國旗勾日寇，青天白日中華民國齊蒙羞。綠欲修法廢除國父邁首步，拋棄中華國號之日豈會久。中華兒女痛定思痛謀統一，炎黃子孫團結一至振神州。

# 親中不是賣臺

~韓祖武~

胡適先生對他那時代有蠻痛心的批評：「我們中國人，有一樁極可恥事情，便是那『媚外』二字。『媚外』二字的意思，便是『拍外國人馬屁』。你看我們中國，上自皇帝大臣，下至小民孩子，哪一個不拍外國人馬屁。要是拍了馬屁，外國人便待中國人要好了；或是拍了馬屁，國家便可以保得住不亡了；或是拍了馬屁，自己便可以得什麼利益了；這麼拍拍馬屁，倒也罷了。只是在下面看起來，我們中國人拍馬屁可拍錯了，拍馬屁拍到馬腳上去

了」百年過去了，胡適的話，看來也非常適用於今日的台灣。而台灣今日的媚外程度，只有過之而無不及。令人更感嘆的是今天台灣的執政者，親美親日已到內心坦然的地步。譬如台灣的總統候選人，都要有一趟到美國日本交心之旅。真的是讓人覺得不是在選總統，而是在選美日管理台灣的代理人。陳水扁就公開講過，

# Organic View on the US Democracy

Dr. Wordman

In this column, organic views are mostly presented with no authors' names simply because the sources of organic views are either anonymous or wish to be unnamed. Naturally, it is wise to be cautious in reading Internet emails even online publications, never taking them for granted as truth. One must exercise judgment on the messages and facts cited therein. If the material is of significance or of great interest to you, then you should do a little research to verify the facts in the content. There are a number of websites available where many of the 'Internet information' have been verified either as truth or as bogus rumors; examples of such sites are factcheck.com, snopes.com and truthorfiction.com. Today's subject, US Democracy, is triggered by an email, which has been widely distributed from 2000, 2004, 2008-9, 2012, and again in 2015-16. This email basically puts democracy in question referring to the failure of the old Athenian Democracy and comparing it to the recent presidential election results in the U.S. to support an obituary statement: that the U.S. was born in 1776 and died in 2016.

We focus on this email in this column despite of the fact that the email was bogus and some of its facts inaccurate. We will explain in the following why we will devote the space here to such emails, which obviously have been circulated in the organic medium until they are discredited. The reason is simple, even the authors of these emails used fake names and some of the facts cited were inaccurate, but the thrust of the messages in these emails was significant. In addition, these emails had surfaced several times over five US presidential election cycles and had circulated among so many people; simply suggests that there might be a true organic view many people had believed in and wanted to share with others.

The main thrust of the above mentioned emails is as follows:

Professor Alexander Tyler, a Scottish history professor of University of Edinburgh of the late nineteenth century was quoted from his studies (1887) on Athenian Democracy: 1. "A democracy is always **Temporary** in nature; it simply cannot exist as a permanent **Form** of government. A democracy will continue to exist up until the time that voters discover that they can vote themselves generous **Gifts** from the public treasury. From that moment on, the majority Always votes for the candidates who promise the most benefits from the public treasury, with the result that every democracy will finally **Collapse** over loose fiscal

policy, (which is) always followed by a **Dictatorship.**" 2. "The average age of the world's greatest civilizations from the Beginning of history, has been about 200 years. During those 200 Years, these nations always progressed through the following sequence: From bondage to spiritual faith; From spiritual faith to great courage; From courage to liberty; From liberty to abundance; From abundance to complacency; From complacency to apathy; From apathy to dependence; From dependence back into bondage."

Another Professor Joseph (or James) Olson, Hamline University School of Law, St Paul, Minnesota was also quoted:

- "The last Presidential election:  
Number of States won by: Obama: 19 Romney: 29  
Square miles of land won by: Obama: 580,000 Romney: 2,427,000  
Population of counties won by: Obama: 127 million Romney: 143 million  
Murder rate per 100,000 residents in counties won by: Obama: 13.2 Romney: 2.1
- Professor Olson adds: "In aggregate, the map of the territory Romney won was mostly the land owned by the taxpaying citizens of the country. Obama territory mostly encompassed those citizens living in low income tenements and living off various forms of government welfare..."

The email then added an editorial: "Olson believes the United States is now somewhere between the 'complacency and apathy' phase of Professor Tyler's definition of Democracy, with some forty percent of the nation's population already having reached the 'governmental dependency' phase."

Although the data cited above are inaccurate; they are closer for year 2000 and 2004 Bush/Gore elections than Obama's two elections (obviously the email might be reproduced from earlier

versions) and Professor Olson has denied to be the author of the above cited quotes, but the significance of the message remains to be thought provoking, i.e. does a democratic system always go through a failure cycle as implied by the email. In addition, the email contains the following comments: "If Congress grants amnesty and citizenship to twenty million criminal invaders(\*more like 11 million and not all criminals for sure) called illegal's - and they vote - then we can say **goodbye** to the USA in fewer than five years." This comment certainly reminds us that the U.S. government seems to be unable to solve our immigrant problem or to manage a balanced budget. Furthermore, the obituary statement in the email, "United States, Born in 1776 and died in 2016" is intentionally provocative to scare and force people to think about the possibility that the American Democracy might fail like the Athenian Democracy. The persistency of this email to appear in 2016 again is simply to remind the American voters to take the 2016 presidential election seriously.

After examined this email and researched its origin and various comments made by others, including those fact-checking websites, I have come to the conclusion that emails like these with questionable authorship still could represent a valid organic view if the factual data could be corrected (or ignored) without impacting the message. In my opinion, as I have written before, democracy is merely a tool not an ideology. As a tool, it must be used skillfully according to circumstances with foresight to tailor the tool to prevent disastrous outcome. Ironically, in Greece today, its democracy seems to favor an over liberal labor majority to elect their government officials to protect a unsustainable welfare system ignoring the fact Greece is going to default on her largest sovereign debt and become bankrupt. Doesn't the above email with repeated circulation serve as a genuine warning to the American citizens? Isn't it possible this email reflects a view from a large enough population in expressing their concern about American presidential campaign, its election process and electoral vote counting method? I rather believe that the email was sent with a good intention rather than with the purpose of generating a rumor. The fact that it is circulating in every election year for the past five presidential elections must have reached a significant number of Americans across two generations.

When comes to information, we know that, on the one hand, both the organic media and the mainstream media cannot be blindly trusted, on the other hand, we should not be shy to bring information from the organic media to the mainstream media. I hope the people who have received this email will bring its message - is the American democracy truly representing the majority? **And** is the majority of voters voting the American system to bankruptcy? - **to** the mainstream media, we should have a serious debate.

文稿言論不代表本報立場，文責自負。