

推進中美相互瞭解合作 促成海峽兩岸和平統一 倡導和諧平等多元世界

## 假世衛之名 搞臺獨勾當

◎丁英

司馬昭之心，路人皆知。Taiwan入WHO之爭，名之為健康，實質為台獨而已矣。

如果真的為了臺灣百姓之健康，為何沒有可以變通的方法進WHO呢？為何不能把政治放一邊，人民最優先呢？名字比健康更重要？人民是大陸的人民嗎？大陸真的不在乎臺灣百姓嗎？我只看到中共沒有把進WHO的門關死，反而為臺灣人民的健康開了一條路子，提出了Chinese Taiwan的權宜之計。中國是讓步了。但是臺灣的當局肯把政治放一邊嗎？讓百姓最優先嗎？我看到的是臺灣的執政者根本一點也不在乎百姓的健康，數十載以來，只在乎一個名義，在乎政治上的搏鬥？不給我用臺灣的名義，我就不進去。明明可以為臺灣的百姓健康做的事，偏偏不做；反而把口水，惡言惡語罵對岸的人不顧人權，不顧人命！這些搞台獨的人在罵大陸不顧人權，不顧人民的同時，不正是罵了自己嗎？到底是誰該被罵呢？

我們不要只聽政治人物的叫囂，要看他真正為百姓做了什麼事。中共說得很明白在政治上是絕對不讓步的，但是對健康這個問題，中共實際上怎麼做的呢？中共沒有拒絕臺灣進入WHO，中共放下了政治上的身段，他們視臺灣的人民也是他們的同胞，願意和臺灣的百姓共用WHO的資源。而臺灣執政者就是死也不願意，從頭到尾為了一個名字，堅決不進WHO，他們顧臺灣百姓的健康顧到哪裡去了？這個現象不就是現代版的所羅門王要判的案嗎？兩個媽媽都在爭孩子是自己的。臺灣的執政者不就是那個假媽媽嗎？反正孩子不是我的，切了分了，死就死了，我要不成，你也休想要到。而真的媽媽是怎麼說來的？好了，好了，孩子就給她吧，要拿去就拿去吧！我可以放棄我是她母親的名義，只要孩子能好好的活著。所羅門王是怎麼判的呢？他把孩子判給了那個願意為孩子活命，而犧牲了自己的女子，因為這個女子對孩子有愛，她願見孩子生，不願見孩子死。今天誰拿百姓的健康做籌

碼？拿臺灣百姓的生計，活命做棋子？不是很明白了嗎？

多少年了，是我，早就同意用Chinese Taiwan進WHO了，還要等到現在。可憐的臺灣百姓，你到底是誰的人民啊？你們的命，你們的健康到底值不值錢啊？你們選出來的總統，到底愛不愛你們啊？聽他們天天喊口號，【愛臺灣！愛臺灣！】。不錯，他們是真的愛臺灣，不過他們愛的是【臺灣】那個名字。不是你。你在他們的眼裡只不過是一個人，而很不幸的，還是一個快要被他們整死了的、臺灣島上的臺

中國文化始終未能建立類似西方的民主思想，帝王威權無人可擋。

清代黃黎洲總結為：「中國兩千年之政治，皆秦政也」，「中國之法，皆帝王一人之法也」。雖然如此，多數帝王行使權力時還是有所節制，知所收斂，因為中國人一向保有不畏君王刀斧的傳統。這種傳統具體表現在士大夫精神、史官精神、御史精神。

不少明君鼓勵官員「犯顏直諫」。其最高境界，就是做到「武死戰」、「文死諫」。文官批評君上，遭皇帝怒而殺之，對士大夫而言，這種死法跟武官戰死沙場一樣光榮。所以魏徵建議唐太宗要鼓勵下屬做「良臣」，勿鼓勵臣子做「忠臣」；忠臣者，只會拍馬屁，良臣卻敢批評皇上缺點。

這正是中國士大夫「寧鳴而死」的優良傳統。

# 由MAGA遊行 談美式民主危機

◎范湘濤

美國之所以能夠長治久安與其完善的民主制度有不可分割的關係，但經過上一輪的總統選舉，可以看出今天的美式民主已經出現了不少瑕疵和裂痕，值得我們關注。總統當選人是以獲得各州所分配的選舉人票為準，並不是獲全部選票最多的人，新總統當選人川普就職前後數週，美國全國大型遊行抗議數十起，甚至有些地方(加州)想發起獨立運動另謀出路；「願賭服輸」是民主政治的基本要求，今天美國人民連這一點修養都沒有了，可見美式民主危機的嚴重。

奧巴馬在最後三個月的跛腳總統任期內，大動手腳為下任總統挖坑，不讓他上任後有好日子過，殊不知新總統日子不好過，美國人民會同樣遭殃；川普為了報復，對奧巴馬引以為傲的政績如全民醫保，全球氣候協議，TPP，和許多國家的一些協議來個全盤否定，殊不知美國政府如果今天不遵守前朝訂下的協議，今後有那個國家會願意再和美國簽新協議呢？豈不是自毀長城？前後任總統，不顧國家和人民的利益，公報私仇，是今天美式民主的另一重大危機。

敘利亞由於教派相爭而內戰不息，烏克蘭由於親俄派或親歐派紛爭不斷也陷入了分裂困境，泰國由於紅衫軍黃衫軍互鬥而最後引來軍人干政，臺灣由於藍綠惡鬥而經濟停滯不前；沒想到這些落後地區的民主政治穢病也傳到了美國，意識形態對立越來越嚴重，保守派的反墮胎和私人擁槍，自由派的無限制福利政策，雙方對立越來越嚴重，確實令人擔心。

川普為了保護國土安全而提出的中東六國旅遊禁令，遭到一個地區聯邦法官裁定違憲而失效，雖然表現出美國司法獨立的可貴，但總統號令出不了白宮，大鯊魚居然鬥不過一個小蝦米，看後是不是令人覺得有點超不過？

美國政府在追查非法移民的同時，許多州和縣市政府卻宣佈當地是非法移民避難所，公開保護非法移民，這種行為與非武裝造反沒有兩樣，實在不敢恭維。

川普上任以來，他要實現他的

競選承諾是非常盡心盡力的，但卻遭到巨大的建制上的阻力，不但動輒得咎，而且常被傳統媒體K得滿頭包，自然引來了各地川粉的不滿和反撲；前不久的某個週末，全美發動了四十多場挺川遊行，人數都在數千人以上，遊行口號就是川普競選的口號“Make America Great Again”，這樣突如其來的民粹運動，不能不令人想起納粹的崛起，真有點兒不寒而慄；最近的一勞動節，全美各地又有大規模的反川擁川大遊行，美國人民愈來愈對立，實在有違民主政治的正道。

美國華人同胞對川普，有些人喜歡他，有些人厭惡他，行動表現也有相當大區別；譬如幾個華裔居民為多數的小城市標榜該市是「非法移民庇護區」，在MAGA遊行中有幾百個舉著“Chinese Americans For Trump”大橫幅的華人，筆者認為以上兩種的做法都不可取。歡迎自己社區多來些非法移民，難道真不怕那些來路不明的人引發社區安全問題？以個人參加MAGA遊行無可厚非，但舉著Chinese Americans大標誌真有點愚不可及；廿世紀六十年代初的民權運動，華裔享受了黑人兄弟推動平權的順風車，今後的白人為主民粹運動，華人同胞千萬不要大張旗鼓地站在黑人兄弟的對立面。遊行示威只會引起社會不安，華裔同胞沒有必要去淌混水，善用手中的選票才是參與美國政治的最好方式吧？

## 親痛仇快 近乎無恥 馬英九將上昏君榜

◎武之璋

歷史上，不少皇帝都曾鼓勵這種傳統。

宋太祖趙匡胤某日在御花園遊憩，大臣忽有急事求見，結果趙匡胤認為只是芝麻小事，確壞了自己興趣，乃憤而用斧頭柄敲斷大臣兩顆牙。

諛計，這大臣恭恭敬敬地掏出手絹，將兩顆牙仔細包起來。

太祖見狀好奇問道：「把落牙包起來幹啥？難道要告我不成？」大臣回道：「您是皇帝，微臣告不了，但史官肯定會記載這件事。」

太祖大吃一驚。次日早朝，立刻大大地嘉勉了這位「良臣」。

中國古代有「天子不看出起居注」的傳統，起居注者乃據實記錄皇帝公私事務全部言行的日誌。天子不看出起居注，就是避免觸犯龍顏，史官不敢再秉筆直書曲直。君王日誌一旦失真，也就喪失起居注的價值

了。

馬英九的領導作風，遠不及中國古代明君的豁達氣度。總統任內屢屢偏聽、偽善、取巧、自保，加上自視過高而一意孤行，連連犯了很多無可回溯補救的政策性大錯。

例如：拒絕恢復國統會、縱容偏獨史觀課綱與教科書繼續毒害學子、廢除軍法裂解軍紀尊嚴、封存核四自毀經建命脈等等。沒有想到卸任後依然自負、霸道，從未真切反省任內令親痛仇快的用人失當的過錯，對兩蔣護台功績一向僅止於儀式性運用，綠營祭出辱蔣去蔣行動，更未見馬有

任何理直氣壯的辯護。尤以年年為「二二八」不斷哽咽道歉的無知言行，不僅低貶自身人格，更大大助漲獨派進逼清算的氣焰。

至於由馬安插府院黨所養養的一小撮奸門幫腔的屁孩兒，聽到誰公開批馬，就立刻誣指對方惡意破壞團結，從不敢檢討主子八年錯誤決策，更畏於正面回應外界具體指教是否合情入理。

馬既是民主時代人民直選的卸任總統，卻絲毫不准批評其施政無能，敗戰毀黨的責任，實在是自負而近乎無恥。

馬迷們幼稚的護主心切的舉動，只會把馬英九加速推向「昏君榜」的行列！

### 中美論壇稿約

評論時政的利弊得失，伸張世界的正義公平，良心知識分子的園地，歡迎來稿投書和回響，作者文責自負，請留真實姓名，地址，電話，不改稿，不退稿，不付稿酬，所有稿件及信函，請email至 uschinaforum138@gmail.com

## Will Tweeter Trigger Or Prevent Nuclear War?

As if 2016 was not exciting enough in the news world, the news media appeared to be pumped with adrenaline to finish off 2016 with more sensational news. The following news sequence certainly cast a dark cloud over the Earth chilling any holiday spirit people might feel about the coming of the New Year. First, President Putin of Russia in his annual meeting on national affairs reaffirmed his policy of refreshing and strengthening Russia's nuclear forces along a note that Russia's economy is recovering steadily with self sufficient agriculture production in 2016. Then, President-elect Trump, after a meeting with the generals about US defense hours after the above Putin news, tweeted to the world that the U.S. must greatly strengthen her nuclear capability. The news media immediately latched on this tweet offering many interpretations from concerns about Trump's ignoring the 'protocol' language regarding nuclear weapons and nuclear threats to possibly a new U.S. nuclear policy.

When Putin was queried on Trump's tweet, he said, this was nothing new; Trump had said "to strengthen nuclear forces" in his campaign. The news media's interpretation of course was varied but one consensus implication was that Russia and the U.S. might be heading to nuclear arms race despite Putin's claim that he did not want one. Tweet is a powerful tool and it has been specifically proven in the 2016 US election. Trump was able to send direct messages to tens of millions of people repeatedly through his tweets to energize the 'Trump' movement. Since Trump's victory, his tweets were able to stimulate the US stock market. In fact, the Wall Street observers have coined a term, 'tweeter risk' referring the daily rise or fall of stock prices attributable to a tweet from Trump. Trump's victory owes to some degree to his tweet performance.

Twitter is a communication product or service offered on the Internet with 'push and pull' characteristics in

### Mainstream and Organic Media Dr. Wordman

one-way and cascading communication. A micro-blog like message can be sent to a large number of tweet followers (A communication being pushed out by the Twitter while tweets being pulled in by followers). The twitter's biggest appeal and attraction to a communicator is the fast speed by which one can send messages to a huge number of Twitter accounts directly with no filtering so long as the short messages are less than 140 characters a piece. The ease of use and friendly way for any Twitter to scan a great number of twitters and their messages allow a Twitter to follow (tune-in) a big number of Twitter accounts. Trump claims that he has 25 million followers, thus making his tweets powerful in delivering messages. President Obama has also used Twitter for delivering his messages. Tweeting does serve a useful purpose if a precise articulated short message can be sent to one's followers, but it also has the possibility to cause a Twitter to be driven by vanity, to become addicted or to be triggered by impulse by sending inappropriate messages out. Of course, Twitter followers can freely select to de-follow any Twitter account; hence a Twitter can also easily lose his or her popularity by misbehaving on tweets.

Speaking about Twitter behavior, one cannot help notice a number of Twitter events created by then President-elect Trump's tweets. On December 6, Trump tweeted, "Boeing is building a brand new 747 Air Force One for future presidents, but costs are out of control, more than \$4B. Cancel order." Within 10 seconds, the Boeing stock started dropping, eventually reaching 1.6% below its previous day closing. This drop might not be significant for Boeing. But a week later Trump tweeted on Lockheed Martin about costs of F-35 (not a new issue) causing its stock to drop 2.5%. Anyone who profited over these tweets is really behaving with questionable ethics since the trades are bordering on insider trading. Some were attributing the stock price

drop of aerospace and healthcare industries since the election to Trump's tweets and/or his cabinet appointments. There were Wall Street brokers openly soliciting investors to profit on Trump's tweets.

In addition to Trump's tweet effect on financial market, one can also observe the impact Trump's tweets had on diplomatic and world affairs. Mr. Trump, by speaking with Ms. Tsai on the phone and referring her as "the President of Taiwan" in a Twitter post, created a diplomatic incidence which essentially violated the traditional American position on the "Taiwan Issue", known as the "One China" policy - respect and recognizing that Taiwan is a part of China. Using 140 characters to casually communicate about diplomatic affairs is obviously a dangerous action, which may be easily causing confusion in diplomatic protocol to seriously creating misunderstanding on foreign policies. Remedies of any damage cannot be made by another short tweet.

On December 22, Mr. Trump said on Twitter that the United States must "strengthen and expand" its nuclear forces "until such time as the world comes to its senses regarding nukes." This tweet may be a response to Putin's speech to his military's leadership in Moscow earlier vowed to strengthen Russia's nuclear missiles. But its effect is very significant not only generating serious concerns in the world about an accelerated nuclear arms race but also wide speculation in our nation and diplomatic relations, domestically exhibited by the rising value of Uranium ETF in the Wall Street stock market and internationally demonstrated by numerous analysts' comments on possibility of nuclear warfare. These types of effects trigger-able by 140 character tweets issued by one individual not accountable by the U.S. Congress and her government system should be discouraged. From the above examples, one can easily see tweets as a one-way short communication that can lead to misunderstanding between governments and people a lot easier than repairing any damages. Under a tense circumstance, for example, in the Middle East Conflict (Iraq and ISIS) or in the South China Sea Dispute or in the North Korea nuclear missile crisis, an improperly versed brief tweet may very likely be misinterpreted to escalate the tense situation into war, even possible to trigger a nuclear war.

To answer the title question, we believe that an ill-versed tweeter will more likely trigger than prevent a nuclear war!